BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))
RICHARD J. SCHAEFFER, M.D.) MBC File # 16-2008-196084
Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390)))
Respondent.)

ORDER CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR IN "EFFECTIVE DATE" PORTION OF DECISION

On its own motion, the Medical Board of California (hereafter "board") finds that there is a clerical error in the "effective date" portion of the Decision in the above-entitled matter and that such clerical error should be corrected so that the effective date will conform to the board's intention.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date contained in the Decision in the aboveentitled matter be and hereby is amended and corrected nunc pro tunc as of the date of entry of the decision to read as follows:

"This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 4, 2009.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 8th day of April 2009.

A. Renee Threadgill

Chief of Enforcement

Medical Board of California

1 2 3 4 5 6	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480							
7	Attorneys for Complainant							
8	BEFORE T							
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORMA						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 16-2008-196084						
12	RICHARD J. SCHAEFFER, M.D. 8110 East Del Timbre	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER						
13	Scottsdale, AZ 85258	DELITE DE LA CONTRA DE CARBON						
14	Address of Record							
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390							
16	Respondent.							
17								
18	On or about February 9, 2009, an employe	e of the Medical Board of California						
19	(hereinafter "Board"), Arlene Krysinski, sent by cert	ified mail a copy of Accusation Case No.						
20	16-2008-196084 (hereinafter "Accusation"), Stateme	ent to Respondent, Notice of Defense in						
21	blank, copies of the relevant sections of the Californ	ia Administrative Procedure Act as required						
22	by sections 11503 and 11505 of the Government Co-	de, and a Request for Discovery, to Richard						
23	J. Schaeffer, M.D., (hereinafter "respondent") at his	address of record with the Board, 8110 East						
24	Del Timbre, Scottsdale, Arizona, 85258. On or abou	nt February 17, 2009, the green certified						
25	receipt card for the Accusation mailed to respondent	's address of record was received by the						
26	Board. (A copy of the Accusation, along with a copy	y of the proof of service, and a copy of the						

green certified receipt card received by the Board are attached hereto, collectively, as Exhibit 1.)

27 |

Respondent has not filed a Notice of Defense. As a result, respondent has waived his right to a hearing on the merits to contest the allegations contained in the Accusation. The Board now proceeds to take action based upon the Accusation, declarations and documentary evidence on file in accordance with Government Code sections 11505(a) and 11520.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Barbara Johnston is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought the charges and allegations in the Accusation in her official capacity.

II.

On or about October 20, 1965, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390 was issued by the Board to Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). This certificate is renewed and current with an expiration date of September 30, 2010. (A certified copy of respondent's license history is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.)

III.

On February 9, 2009, an Accusation was filed by the Board alleging causes for discipline against respondent. The Accusation and accompanying documents were duly served on respondent. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense.

IV.

The allegations of the Accusation are true as follows:

On or about December 4, 2008, the Arizona Medical Board issued a Consent Agreement for Letter of Reprimand and Probation resulting in the issuance of a Letter of Reprimand and respondent's medical license being placed on one year probation. In addition, respondent was required to complete 15 to 20 hours of Continuing Medical Education (CME) in psychiatry and have Arizona Board staff conduct a chart review following respondent's prescribing and medical record keeping CME courses. The Arizona Medical Board found that respondent committed unprofessional conduct as he prescribed large amounts of controlled substances to three male patients without performing adequate histories and mental status examinations and monitoring, failed to document discussions with these patients of the risks and

benefits of prescription medications, failed to maintain adequate medical records for these three patients, and committed conduct that was or could have been harmful/dangerous to the health of these patients. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and made a part hereof is a certified copy of the 3 Arizona Medical Board Consent Agreement for Letter of Reprimand and Probation dated December 4, 2008. Pursuant to the foregoing Findings of Fact, respondent's medical license is subject to 6 disciplinary action within the meaning of section 141(a) of the Business and Professions Code and his conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Business and Processions Code section 2305 and is conduct subject to discipline. 10 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** I. 11 12 Pursuant to the foregoing Findings of Fact, respondent's conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 2305 and is 13 14 conduct subject to discipline within the meaning of section 141(a). DISCIPLINARY ORDER 15 16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390 issued to Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D. is hereby **REVOKED**. 17 18 Respondent shall not be deprived of making a request for relief from default as set forth in Government Code section 11520(c) for good cause shown. However, such showing 19 must be made in writing by way of a motion to vacate the default decision and directed to the 20 Medical Board of California at 2005 Evergreen Street, Suite 1200, Sacramento, CA 95815 21 22 within seven (7) days of the service of this Decision. 23 This Decision will be come effective April 10, 2009. April 3, 2009 DATED: 24 By 25 Medical Board of California 26 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 27 Complainant

Exhibits 1, 2, and 3

FILED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

SACRAMENTO Lylonary 7 20 000

Case No. 16-2008-196084

ACCUSATION

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of the State of California
JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092
Deputy Attorney General
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 703-5552

Attorneys for Complainant

Facsimile: (415) 703-5480

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

RICHARD J. SCHAEFFER, M.D. 8110 East Del Timbre Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Address of Record

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390

Respondent.

The Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- 1. Complainant Barbara Johnston is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and brings this accusation solely in her official capacity.
- 2. On or about October 20, 1965, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 11390 was issued by the Board to Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). This certificate is renewed and current with an expiration date of September 30, 2010.

JURISDICTION

3. This accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") and/or other relevant statutory enactment:

10

11

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

12

16

18

19

17

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

//

1. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Cal.Bus. & Prof. Code, sections 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

- A. Section 2227 of the Code provides in part that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period of not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act, and may recover the costs of probation monitoring if probation is imposed.
- B. Section 2305 of the Code provides, in part, that the revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction or limitation imposed by another state upon a license to practice medicine issued by that state, that would have been grounds for discipline in California under the Medical Practice Act, constitutes grounds for discipline for unprofessional conduct.
 - C. Section 141 of the Code provides:
- "(a) For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of a department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or by another country shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein."
- "(b) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from applying a specific statutory provision in the licensing act administered by the board that provides for discipline based upon a disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country."
- 4. Respondent is subject to discipline within the meaning of section 2305 and/or section 141of the Code as more particularly set forth herein below.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline, Restriction, or Limitation Imposed by Another State)

- Agreement for Letter of Reprimand and Probation resulting in the issuance of a Letter of Reprimand and respondent's medical license being placed on one year probation. In addition, respondent was required to complete 15 to 20 hours of Continuing Medical Education (CME) in psychiatry and have Arizona Board staff conduct a chart review following respondent's prescribing and medical record keeping CME courses. The Arizona Medical Board found that respondent committed unprofessional conduct as he prescribed large amounts of controlled substances to three male patients without performing adequate histories and mental status examinations and monitoring, failed to document discussions with these patients of the risks and benefits of prescription medications, failed to maintain adequate medical records for these three patients, and committed conduct that was or could have been harmful/dangerous to the health of these patients.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof is a copy of the Arizona Medical Board Consent Agreement for Letter of Reprimand and Probation dated December 4, 2008.
- 7. The action by the Arizona Medical Board regarding respondent's license to practice medicine, as set forth above, constitutes unprofessional conduct and/or grounds for disciplinary action within the meaning of section 2305 of the Code and/or section 141(a) of the Code. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 11390 heretofore issued to respondent, Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D.;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the Board; and,

1	
1	3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to
2	supervise physician assistants; and,
3	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
4	DATED: February 9, 2009
5	Aggust
6	BARBARA JOHNSTON Executive Director
7	Medical Board of California
8	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
9	20173337.wpd SF2008402634
10	Exhibit A: Arizona Medical Board Consent Agreement For Letter of Reprimand and Probation
11	Eximite 11. Titizona Medical Zona Company
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A.

in the Matter of

License No. 4736

In the State of Arizona.

RICHARD J. SCHAEFFER, M.D.

For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine

7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

-Case No. MD-07-0541A

CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND AND PROBATION

CONSENT AGREEMENT

By mutual agreement and understanding, between the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") and Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D. ("Respondent"), the parties agreed to the following disposition of this matter.

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement"). Respondent acknowledges he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.
- 2. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to challenge this Consent Agreement in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from said Consent Agreement.
- 3. This Consent Agreement is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- The Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part thereof. This Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against Respondent.
- 5. This Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any

waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. The acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

- All admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of this matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, said admissions by Respondent are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal court proceeding, in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.
- 7. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the acceptance of the Consent Agreement. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.
- 8. If the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, Respondent will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 9. This Consent Agreement, once approved and signed, is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank and to the Arizona Medical Board's website.
- 10. If any part of the Consent Agreement is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.

1	11 Any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct
2	and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. § § 32-1401(27)(r) ([v]iolating a formal order,
3	probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its
4	executive director under this chapter") and 32-1451.
5	12. Respondent has read and understands the condition(s) of probation.
6	
7	
8	RICHARD J. SCHÄEFFER, M.B.
9	RICHARD J. SCHMEFFER, MID.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 4736 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- The Board initiated case number MD-07-0511A after receiving a complaint regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a thirty-four year-old male patient ("BC").
- 4. From January 3, 2006 through June 17, 2007, BC saw Respondent for psychiatric care and reported a history of depression, high energy episodes, alcohol, cocaine and heroine abuse. BC also reported that he was being treated at a Methadone clinic for substance abuse. Respondent did not document that he obtained an adequate history of BC's substance abuse and mental status examination during several office visits. Respondent diagnosed BC with bipolar and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).
- 5. During several visits, Respondent prescribed large amounts of medications, including controlled substances such as Seroquel, Lorazepain, Adderall, Valium, and Klonopin. There was inadequate documentation that Respondent monitored or followed up with BC while he was taking the medications; that Respondent discussed the side effects, risks, and benefits of the medications prior to prescribing them; and that Respondent tracked the amount of refills he prescribed.
- 6. Additionally, on two occasions BC's wife contacted Respondent regarding BC's potential domestic violence and ongoing substance abuse. Respondent did not respond to BC's wife.
- 7. Following an investigational interview with Respondent, Board Staff randomly selected three patient records from his office for review and found deviations in two of the records, patients PM and TT. Board Staff noted that Respondent provided several

prescriptions for controlled substances to PM and TT without documenting an adequate history of substance abuse and mental status examination. There also was inadequate documentation that Respondent discussed side effects, risks, and benefits of the medications with PM and TT.

- 8. On December 27, 2007, a twenty-seven year old male patient ("PM") presented to Respondent's office and was diagnosed with ADHD and consideration of mood disorder. Respondent prescribed Dextrostat 5-10 mg twice a day for ADHD. In March 2006, Respondent increased the dosage to 20mg twice a day without any indication. Subsequently, Respondent prescribed brief trials of antipsychotic medications that included Risperdal and Ability with no noted change in his diagnosis or for why the medications were added. The trials were for a short length of time and there was no indication as to why Respondent discontinued the medications.

 10. The spondent prescribed PM Dextrostat 20 mg, Ritalin 10 mg and Wellbuttin twice a day without any documentation of indication.
- 9. On September 11, 2007, a thirty-one year-old male patient ("TT") presented to Respondent with a primary diagnosis of bipolar disorder, not otherwise specified and a secondary diagnosis of personality disorder. Respondent recommended Ability and wrote prescriptions for it. Respondent also prescribed Lithium; however, there was no mention of this medication in Respondent's evaluation notes. Additionally, the Ability prescription did not have refills, but refills were allowed for the Lithium. Respondent did not initially order laboratory tests, such as a complete blood count, complete metabolic panel, thyroid panel, electrocardiogram; he did not coordinate care with TT's primary care physician and he did not obtain follow up Lithium levels.
- 10. On February 22, 2008, Respondent was ordered to undergo an evaluation that concluded Respondent demonstrated solid, but outdated fund of knowledge in

					familiarity				1				
	-				attend a co				- 1			ychi	atr
and a reco	rdkee	pin	g cou	ırse	to addres	s the	deficienci	es in his	dq	cume	ntation.		

- 11. The standard of care requires a physician to conduct a complete history, mental status examination, and substance abuse history.
- 12. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he did not obtain an adequate history of BC, PM, and TT.
- 13. The standard of care requires a physician to prescribe minimum amounts of necessary controlled substances with adequate follow up and menitoring.
- 14. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he prescribed large amounts of controlled substances to BC without documentation regarding adequate follow up and monitoring.
- 15. The standard of care requires a physician to discuss side effects, risks, and benefits of medications prescribed to a patient.
- 16. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he did not adequately document his discussion regarding the side effects risks, and benefits of the medication prescribed with BC, PM, and TT.
- 17. The standard of care requires a physician to perform a standard workup for Lithium and obtain a follow up Lithium level.
- 18. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he did not initially order laboratory tests and he did not obtain a follow up Lithium level for TT.
- 19. BC was hospitalized, arrested, and had ongoing incidents of domestic violence that were related to his ongoing substance abuse that was not recognized by Respondent. Additionally, BC potentially could have suffered an accident or overdose with a possible occurrence of a psychotic episode. The amount of controlled substances

 prescribed to PM without adequate documentation of substance abuse created a concern of community safety. If TT had unknown reduced renal function or a pre-existing arrhythmia, there was the potential for a serious adverse drug reaction...

- 20. A physician is required to maintain adequate legible medical records containing, at a minimum, sufficient information to identify the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, accurately document the results, indicate advice and cautionary warnings provided to the patient and provide sufficient information for another practitioner to assume continuity of the patient's care at any point in the course of treatment. A.R.S. § 32-1401(2). Respondent's records were in adequate because he did not obtain an adequate history and mental status examination; he did not document the side effects, risks, and benefits of medications prescribed; and he prescribed large amounts of medications without documented indication.
- 21. In mitigation, Dr. Schaeffer has completed 70 category I credits in psychiatry and the University of California San Diego Medical Recordkeeping course, for another 17.25 category I credits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over
 Respondent
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e) ("[f]ailing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient.") and A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) ("[a]ny conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.").

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand for prescribing large amounts of controlled substances without performing an adequate history and mental status examination and monitoring; for failure to document discussion of risks and benefits of prescription medication; and for failure to maintain adequate records.
- 2. Respondent is placed on probation for one year with the following terms and conditions:

a. Continuing Medical Education

Respondent shall within six months of the effective date of this Order obtain 15 - 20 hours of Board Staff pre-approved Category I Continuing Medical Education (CME) in psychiatry. Respondent shall provide Board Staff with satisfactory proof of attendance. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for the biennial renewal of medical license.

b. Chart Reviews

Board Staff or its agent shall conduct a chart review following Respondent's completion of the prescribing and medical recordkeeping CME. Based upon the chart review, the Board retains jurisdiction to take additional disciplinary or remedial action.

c. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

d. Tolling

In the event Respondent should leave Arizona to reside or practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in Arizona, Respondent shall notify the Executive Director in writing within ten days of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within Arizona. Non-practice is defined as any period of

1	time exceeding thirty days during which Respondent is not engaging in the practice of
2	medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside Arizona or of
3	non-practice within Arizona, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.
4	e. Respondent's probation shall terminate upon successful completion of
5	the CME courses and satisfactory chart reviews.
6	3. This Order is the final disposition of case number ND-07-0541A.
7	DATE OF FECTIVE this day of Alexander 2008.
8	
9	ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD
10	
11	By Lisa S. Wynn
12	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed
13	this day of all the one with:
14	Arizona Medical Board 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
15	Scottsdale, AZ 85258
16	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed
17	this Alexander 2008 to:
18	Paul Giancola Snell & Wilmer
19	400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
20	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed
21	this May of Mulally 2008 to:
22	Richard J. Schaeffer, M.D.
23	Address of Record
24	hi Elmo
25	Investigational Review